

# OFRA ESTIMATE

## DAC-specific Section 45Q counterfactuals scored relative to current law

Budget window: 2026–2035

### Scenario A — DAC Elimination Only

Metric	Low	High
<b>Federal Fiscal Impact</b>	\$3.1	\$9.4
Compliance Impact (millions of \$)	\$2.3	\$7.0
Outcomes (change in additional MMt CO2)	(17.1)	(50.2)
GDP Impact	\$0.9	\$3.3

Values are in billions of dollars unless otherwise noted. Positive fiscal, compliance, and GDP values denote savings or gains relative to current law; negative outcomes denote reduced additional capture relative to current law.

### Scenario B — DAC Credit Reduced to \$85

Metric	Low	High
<b>Federal Fiscal Impact</b>	\$1.6	\$5.0
Compliance Impact (millions of \$)	\$1.2	\$3.6
Outcomes (change in additional MMt CO2)	(9.0)	(26.5)
GDP Impact	\$0.5	\$1.7

Values are in billions of dollars unless otherwise noted. Positive fiscal, compliance, and GDP values denote savings or gains relative to current law; negative outcomes denote reduced additional capture relative to current law.

### Methodology & Notes

*Background:* This memorandum extends the existing OFRA Section 45Q preliminary estimate to two DAC-only counterfactuals,<sup>1</sup> while preserving the core model structure. Unlike the base estimate, which compares current law to a no-credit baseline, the two scenarios above are measured as changes relative to current law. The model accounts for explicit point-source and DAC credit rates, category-specific claim realization, an additionality module applied only to outcomes, claimant compliance costs, and a supplemental GDP framework. The model separates point-source and DAC statutory rates, and the Low/High case sheets carry that separation through benchmark tons, claimed tons, and fiscal costs.

*Fiscal Effects:* Federal fiscal effects were scored on forecast current-law DAC claimed tons. Scenario A sets the DAC credit to \$0. Scenario B sets the DAC rate equal to the point-source rate each year. All non-DAC rates, claim rates, and benchmark tons remain unchanged.

*Outcomes:* Outcome effects keep non-DAC additionality unchanged. Scenario A sets DAC additionality to zero. Scenario B scales DAC additionality by 85/180, the ratio of the modified DAC rate to the current-law DAC rate under the shared escalator. This preserves the original deployment benchmark and applies behavior only through the DAC-specific additionality channel.

*Compliance Costs:* Compliance effects use the same claimant-count logic as the original preliminary estimate. DAC claimant counts are estimated from DAC claimed tons divided by average claimed tons per claimant. Scenario A removes DAC claimants. Scenario B scales DAC claimant participation by the same 85/180 factor used for DAC additionality.

*GDP:* GDP effects use the same stylized formula as the original estimate. Deadweight loss on compliance costs plus marginal excess burden on fiscal costs, plus a carry-forward term.

## Context and sensitivity

Because point-source 45Q remains intact, the modified-policy still implies substantial residual activity and fiscal cost. Under Scenario A, residual federal fiscal cost over 2026–2035 is about \$27.3 billion in the low case and \$47.1 billion in the high case, with residual additional capture of 216.5 and 407.5 million metric tons, respectively. Under Scenario B, residual fiscal cost is about \$28.8 billion and \$51.5 billion, with residual additional capture of 224.6 and 431.3 million metric tons.

Results scale materially with the assumed DAC share because only the DAC component of the credit is changed. A rough  $\pm 25$  percent change in the DAC share assumption would move Scenario A fiscal savings by about  $\pm \$0.8$  billion in the low case and  $\pm \$2.4$  billion in the high case; Scenario B fiscal savings would move by about  $\pm \$0.4$  billion and  $\pm \$1.2$  billion, respectively. Outcome losses would move proportionally.

Scenario B is also sensitive to the assumed DAC elasticity. Under a pure no-behavior view, the outcome loss in Scenario B would be near zero. Under a cliff-case view, the outcome loss would approach Scenario A ((17.1) low and (50.2) high). The mainline specification used here sits between those bounds at (9.0) low and (26.5) high.

---

<sup>i</sup> Office of Fiscal and Regulatory Analysis. OFRA Estimate: Credit for Carbon Oxide Sequestration Section 45Q. April 7, 2026.